5

THE REPUBLIC OF UGANDA IN THE HIGH COURT OF UGANDA HOLDEN AT RUKUNGIRI

HCT-05-CR-SC-0024-2000

UGANDA::::::PROSECUTOR

VERSUS

BEFORE: HON. JUSTICE P.K. MUGAMBA

PROCEEDINGS:

15

3/5/2000 Mr. Rwaheru for Accused

Mr. Byansi for the State.

Accused in court.

20 Assessors: Rwanika

Bazirakye

Court: The case is fixed for hearing on the 26/5/2000.

V.A.R.Kagaba

25 Judge

3/5/2000

26/5/2000 Mr. Rwaheru for the accused

Mr. Byansi for the State

Accused in Court

30 Turyamubona – Court Interpreter

The indictment read to the accused.

Accused replies : - I deny the charge.

Plea of Not Guilty entered.

Mr. Byansi:

We have agreed to admit the evidence of four witnesses.

Preliminary Hearing under Section 64 of the Trial on Indictments Decree.

5 (1) Dr. Birungi: Medical Superintendent of Kambuga Hospital, the examined the victim Monica Nshemereire on P.F3 on the 30/5/99.

He found: The victim was aged about five years. He found signs of penetration. The hymen was ruptured along time ago. He did not find any injuries or inflammation on the child's private parts. There were no bruises on the thighs, hands, legs and elbows. There were no signs of any venereal disease.

Court: The doctor's report is admitted as exhibit P1.

(2) Dr. Musinguzi – Medical Officer of Nyakibale Hospital – he examined the accused, Nkuriyongoma Yusufu on P.F 24 on the 22/11/99.

He found that the accused was 20 years old, had no injuries on his body and his mental state was normal. He found the accused erects normally and had no problem with erection.

Court: The report is admitted as Exhibit P.2.

20

25

10

15

(3) D/IP Gumisiriza K. – Stated on 23/2/99, the accused was brought before him for recording a charge and caution statement. He explained to him the charge and cautioned him. The accused said he understood the charge and caution. The accused gave him his statement which he recorded in English. He read the statement back to the accused. The accused signed and the witness counter signed it.

Court: The statement is admitted as Exhibit P.3.

	(4) Turyagumisiriza Lilian : 25 years old, Mukiga, House wife of
	Bernard Birinkana. Ishasha village Nyanga Parish Kihihi Sub County,
	Kinkizi County Rukungiri District States;
	On the 17/2/99 at around 8.00p.m, while at her home, she heard one of her neighbours,
5	Tusimiraho Vida making an alarm and saying her daughter Monica Nshemereirwe had been
	defiled by Nkuriyongoma. She went and saw the defiled girl aged nine years. She called the girl
	aside and checked her private parts. She saw some sperms mixed with blood in the vagina of the
	little girl.
10	Other people came – included the father of the girl – Bashaija Baguma, Turyamureba and
	Jennifer, the grandmother of the girl. They all decided that they take Nshemereirwe, the victim
	and Nkuriyongoma to the Chairperson of their village for assistance. When we reached the place
	of the chairman called Likarido, he advised to keep both the victim and the suspect until the
	following day. The following day $-$ 18/2/99, he forwarded us to the chairman LCII, who in turn
15	forwarded them to Kihihi Police Station.
	The recorded evidence is read over to the accused.
20	Signature of the Accused Nkuriyongoma
20	
	Signature of the Defence Counsel
	Signature of the Defence Counsel
25	Signature of the State – Attorney
30	Selection and swearing in of the assessors.

Assessors shown to the accused.

Accused: I have no objection.

5

State Attorney: I have no objection.

Defence Counsel: I have no objection.

10 **Assessor** (1) Francis Rwanika 58 years teacher of Bushuru Primary School Kabale District Catholic

Sworn.

Assessor (2) Mushabe Andrew – 52 years Meteorgist

Kabale

Municipality Catholic Sworn.

15

The admitted evidence is read over to the assessors.

Court: Although there are witnesses in court, I am unable to take their evidence because I have to travel to Kampala. The case is adjourned for further

20 hearing on the 31/5/2000.

V.A.R. Kagaba

Judge

25 **26/5/2000**

31/5/2000 Mr. Rwaheru for the Accused

Mr. Byansi for the State

Accused in court.

30 Both Assessors in Court.

Mr. Byansi: I have three witnesses in court.

PW1: Agnes Monica Nshemereire 10 years Ishasha village

Nyango Parish.

5 **Court**: having seen the witness, this court had decided to go through the Voire dire proceedings in order to establish whether the witness is possessed of sufficient intelligence to given evidence and

whether she appreciates the value of speaking

the truth.

10

15

Voire Dire Proceedings:

My name is Agnes Monica Nshemereire. I am at Kazinga Primary School. I am in class II my class teacher is Zimairi. Our headmaster is Mugisha. I know that man – (Points at the accused) is Nkuriyengoma Majoro. He comes from Ishasha. He lives near our home. I have come for the case. I was raped by Nkuriyengoma. I know the difference between telling the truth and telling lies.

I have to tell court the truth. I have not been couched by parents to say what I am going to say. I do not know what an oath means.

20

Ruling of the Court:

After asking the witness some questions I found the witness was steady in answering the questions put to her. I asked her about her home background and why she had come to court and for what. She said she knew the accused and had come for the case that concerned her and the accused who raped her. The witness did not however appreciated the meaning of the oath.

25

30

I found she was possessed of sufficient intelligence to give evidence and understood the meaning of telling the truth. I therefore, allowed to give an unsworn statement.

See: (1) Sakila Vs. Republic (1967) EA 403

- (2) Kibongeny Arap Kabil Vs. Republic (1959) EA 92
- (3) Uganda Vs. Kisumiro Kidega

H.C. Criminal Revision 29/90

Vol I H.C. Criminal Judgments P.142.

PW1: Agnes Monica Nshemereirwe 10 years old Kazinga

Primary School, Nyanga

Parish.

5

10

Unsworn Statement of Agnes Monica Nshemereirwe:

I am in class II in Kazinga Primary School. I know that man in the dock (shown the accused). He is called Nkuriyengoma Majoro. He stays at Ishasha. He lives on the same village as myself. He stays at the home of Birikano. He is a porter for Bireekano. Bireekano's home is about 100 metres from our home. My father is Basheija Frank. My mother is Tusimiraho Vida. I have come for a case. I was raped by Nkuriyengoma. The accused found me at home. It was in the evening. The sun had set down. There was darkness.

15 Accused asked me to accompany him. I was at home with my father, mother and Zairians (Congolese) who had come to our home as refugees. I accompanied him. When we reached the gate of the accused's home, accused caught me. I raised an alarm. But one answered my alarm. After he grabbed me, he pulled to the bush which was near their home. He raped me. He put me down. He lay on top of me. He raped me with his thing. I had never seen that thing. I have brothers. I have never seen them urinating. The accused put his thing inside my private parts. He put his thing in my part which I use for urinating.

When he put his thing inside my private parts, I felt pain. He then released me. I went home crying because of the paid I was feeling.

25

30

When I went home, I reported to my mother that Nkuriyengoma has raped me. My mother went to inform my uncles, namely Baguma, Tumuramye. These uncles and other people went to arrest the accused. I was with them when they arrested the accused. The accused was taken to the Chairman Licalido. I was also taken to the chairman. The accused was taken to Bireekano's (his master) house and then to Nyanga Parish. Accused was forwarded to Kihihi. I was also taken to Kihihi police post. I was then taken to Dr. Birungis' who examined at my private parts. When

the accused raped me I had been wearing knickers which he removed from me. The knickers are at home.

PW2: Tusimiraho Vida: 28 years Ishasha village Nyanga Parish Kihihi Sub County Kinkizi Sub-county Rukungiri District Church of Uganda – States.

I am the wife of Basheija Frank. I know Agnes Monica Nshemereirwe, she is my daughter. She was born 5/12/1989. I know the accused. He is Nkuriyongoma. He stays at the home of Turyamureba Provia, the wife of late Birekano. Birekano had already died when the accused was arrested. Accused was a porter/herdsman of Provia Turyamureba. Our home were about 200 metres apart. Accused first come to work for Birekano's family in 1998. He had been going and coming back.

But he had been at that home for two months before the commission of this offence.

15

10

5

On 17/2/99 at about 8.00p.m there was confusion or uprising in the small town of Ishasha in Congo, which is near our place. Many Congolese had run to our village to seek asylum. We cooked and ate supper with these refugees. Accused found us eating and we ate with him.

After supper I went inside to arrange the beds where the Congolese would sleep. The Congolese were about 10 adults excluding the children. We had super outside. The accused and Nshemereirwe were outside where we had been eating. I left them together where we had been eating. While I was inside the house I heard noise being made by the children of the Congolese.

25

Just I was coming out of the house Nshemereire came crying and saying Nkuriyengoma had raped her. Her (victim's) father was in bed. I reprimanded Nshemereirwe for leaving home and told her I was going to tell her father so that he comes and beats her.

30 I went and reported to her father that Nshemereirwe had run to her grandmother.

I went out to see what was happening.

I, the child, her father and grandmother went to the home of Birekano to ask the accused why he had raped our child.

We reported to her master what her porter had done. He master called the accused from his 5 (small house for porters) house. Accused came out.

Provia asked the accused if he had raped the child. Nkuriyengoma denied raping the child. The victim was there. The victim insisted in the presence of the accused and ourselves that it was the accused who had raped her.

10

Whele at the home of Turyamureba Provia, I and Lilian Turyagumanawe examined the private parts of Nshemereirwe (away form the public). We saw blood mixed with some whitish liquid in the vagina of Nshemereirwe.

15 There was some injury on the mouth of the vagina. The injury was a small tear appearing like a cut near the mouth of the vagina. We decided to take the victim and the accused to the Chairman LCI – Licado.

I reported to the Chairman that the accused had raped my child Nshemereirwe.

20

The chairman LCI said he had no where to keep the accused for the night. The chairman spoke to the accused about the case. The accused denied any knowledge about the case.

Provia, her master, offered to keep the accused for a night. He was put in the store. The store locked by Provia. Next day, Provia took the accused out of the store – returned to the Chairman LCI with the accused. The Chairman LCI directed us to go to the Chairman LCII as he had no jurisdiction to her the case. The chairman LCII is called Nabo. Nabo directed us to go to the police. We went to Kihihi Police Post. We reported that accused had raped our daughter.

30 The accused and the victim were with us. Statements were recorded.

The police forwarded us to the Medical Officer – Kambuga. The doctor was not there. The Medical Assistant there asked for Shs 10000/= in order to examined the child. I did not have the money. He sent me away. I went back to the police as Kihihi and then went home.

In May 1999, I was sent for. I and the child went to Kihihi Police Station. Dr. Birungi has a private Clinic in Kihihi. He comes there on Saturdays. The Polcieman took us to Dr. Birungi's private Clinic. I and the child (victim) reported there. The first day, the doctor did not attend to us. On the next day, we went back, the victim was examined and certain forms completed by Dr. Birungi.

10

Cross Examination:

Rwaheru - No questions.

Re Examination – Nil

15

Assessors (1)

Nkuriyengoma was a regular visitor to our place.

Assessor (2) No questions.

20 Mr. Byansi:

That is the close of the prosecution's case.

Mr. Rwaheru:

I leave it so the court to decide.

25

Court:

It is the finding of the court that there is a case to answer.

The rights of the accused under Sections 71 (2) and 72 of the T.I.D are explained to the accused.

30

Accused States:

I will adopt my statement which I gave at the police. I have no witnesses to call.

I have nothing to say. I rely on my statement which is on record.

5 Mr. Rwaheru:

That is the close of the defence.

Addresses/Submissions of Counsel

10 It is conceded that the victim was below the age of 18 years when she was sexually abused. It is also conceded that the victim was sexually abused. The contention is whether it was the accused who had sexual intercourse with the victim.

PW1 has given an unsworn evidence. Both the law and procedure required that the evidence of such witness must be corroborated before a conviction can be based upon it. There is no evidence on record to render that corroboration. In the absence of that corroborative evidence, I pray the accused be acquitted.

Mr. Byansi:

15

20 Since the ingredients 1 and 2 have been conceded by the defence Counsel, I do not have much to add.

On ground 3, the identification of the accused, the prosecution has led the following evidence.

PW1 testified was known to her. She knew him by name, what he did and he stayed.

Although the offence was committed at night, there were favourable circumstances for proper identification. They were seated outside the house before the accused requested her to accompany him, where he ended up defiling her.

Corroborative evidence is to be found in the evidence of PW2; the victim's mother who told court that they had supper with the accused among others. PW2 left accused seated with the

victim when she went inside to prepare beds for the "refugees".

When PW2 came of the house, the victim and accused were not where she had left them. She 5

saw victim come crying and mentioning the names of the accused as having defiled her. The

victim reported to her that the accused had raped her. From all this, it can be concluded that it

was Nkuriyongoma who defiled the little gir.

10 The accused story that he is impotent is a lie since he has been proved otherwise by Dr.

Musinguzi. This lie is corroboration of his having defiled Monica, the victim Prosecution has

proved the three ingredients beyond reasonable doubt. I pray he be convicted as charged.

Mr. Rwaheru: I have nothing in reply.

15

Opinions of the Assessors Mr. Mushabe Andrew we are giving a joint opinion

There are three ingredients for the offence of defilement – namely;

the age of the victim being under the age of 18 years 1)

20 2) the victim was sexually intercoursed

> it is the accused who had sexual intercourse with the victim. 3)

Age:

The age of the victim was found to be below the age of 18 years. The victim said she is 10 years

25 her mother said she was born on 5/12/89. The doctor said she was five years.

Sexual Intercourse:

Pw1 who testified that the accused put his "thing" in her vagina. She felt pain. PW2, the mother 30

of the victim examined her at Provia's place.

She saw a cut/tear on her part of the victim's vagina, and there was blood and sperm like

substance in the vagina of the victim.

5 Dr. Birungi who examined the victim later found the hymen was ruptured as a result of vaginal

penetration.

<u>Identification of the defiler</u>

PW1 who clearly and consistently testified that she knew the accused very well. She said she

was with accused where Congolese had gathered. She said accused as a close neighbour used to

come to the victim's home frequently. This evidence was corroborated by the evidence of PW2

who said, she knew the accused as a close neighbour. That very evening, accused had super with

them. She left the accused with the victim outside when she went into the house to prepare

"beds" for the Congelese. The victim consistently testified that it was the accused who defiled

15 her.

10

The evidence of PW1 is truthful. In his defence, the accused stated that he was impotent and

could not have performed an act of sexual intercourse. But Dr. Musinguzi found him very

capable of erecting. This casts a doubt in his defence. We do not accept his alibi. We have the

defence full of lies and unreliable. We have found the prosecution has proved beyond reasonable

doubt that it is the accused who committed the offence.

We advise your Lordship to convict the accused as charged.

Court: Judgment will be given on the 1/6/2000.

25

20

V.R. Kagaba

Judge

31/5/2000

30

1/6/2000 Mr. Rwaheru for the Accused

Mr. Byansi for the State.

Accused in Court.

Both Assessors in Court

Court: The judgment of the court is read to the accused in the presence of both Counsel.

5

V.R. Kagaba

Judge

1/6/2000

10

15

ALLOCUTUS:

Mr. Byansi:

The convict does not appear to be repentant. Considering the offence of defilement is on the rise, it is my prayer the convict be given a very severe sentence to teach him a lesson and to act as a warning to others.

Mr. Rwaheru:

The convict is a first offender. He has been in custody for fifteen and half months. He is 21 years old. I pray court to take these factors into consideration when deciding on the sentence and to pass a fair sentence to him and the society.

Sentence and Reasons for the same

The accused person was a close neighbour to the victim. They were so close that they even shared meals in the night. The accused abused the hospitality extended to him y the mother of the victim who was so kind and hospitable to him that she even served him with super. The accused exploited the youth, innocence and neighbourliness of young Agnes Monica. He passed off as a good neighbour and invited her to accompany him not knowing what lay in store for her.

The country is crying and weeping about the frequency and abudance of his offences of defilement. No one know what is happening to mankind. Added to this, (frequency) is the fear of the widespread AIDS epidemic. No one should gamble into unprotected sex because the

results may be disastrous.

5

10

15

20

The accused subjected the victim to unprotected sex and no one knows she could have been

infected with AIDS during that encounter. In that case, her death is assured.

The courts must come out sternly to punish the convicted defilers with stiff custodial sentences

so that such people are kept away form society for a while. By imposing these stiff sentences,

the public should receive a message how concerned the courts are about the offence and what

measures the courts are putting in place to control or put to an end the ugly habit of playing with

the lives of youthful females. The virginity of Agnes in this case is gone and she will with the

me live trauma, (mental, Physical and psychological) of what the convict/the accused did to her

on that sad night in her life history.

In mitigation, I take into account, that the convict is a first offender; he has been in custody for

about 15 months and is a young man of 21 years. The victim was about seven years while the

accused was 20 years. Be that as it may, this is a very serious offence whose maximum sentence

is death. I will not give the convict the maximum sentence because of the mitigating factors

listed in his favour above.

25 <u>Sentence:</u>

The accused shall serve a sentence of thirteen (13) years imprisonment.

V.R. Kagaba

30 **Judge**

1/6/2000

Right of Appeal Explained to the accused/Convict has a right to appeal to the court of Appeal within 14 days from today.

5

V.R. Kagaba Judge 1/6/2000

SUMMING UP NOTES TO ASSESSORS:

31/5/2000

A. **Indictment:** Difelement Contrary to Section 123 (1) of the Penal Code Act.

5

- **Ingredients:** (1) Age under 18 years
 - (2) Sexual Intercourse
 - (3) Participation of Accused.
- B. (1) Burden of proof in Criminal case on the

prosecution.

10

- (2) Reasonable Doubt.
- C. Corroboration.
 - (a) Sexual offences.
 - (b) Evidence of witness of tender age.

15

D. Single Witness:-

Need for corroboration. Court could convict without corroboration if it believe the witness is truthful.

20

E. Evidence (Evaluation)

Truthfulness - Credibility - Demeanour Consistence as distinguished from corroboration.

25 F. Contradictions:

Test to be applied. Are they minor or substantial – do they affect me. Credibility of the witness or the value of their evidence?

- G. Evidence in support of each ingredient:
- 30 **Age:** PW1 PW2 and Dr. Birungi.

Sexual Intercourse:

PW1 – PW1 Dr. Birungi and Turyagumisiriza Lilian (admitted No.4)

Identity of Accused:

5 PW1 – neighbour – spoke – together at meal and request for accompaniment.

PW2: Accused at super – with victim outside the house.

Single witness:

10 Corroboration: PW2.

Lies of Accused that he was impotent (See Dr. Musinguzi (Admitted No.2)

Defence: Denial – in his Charge and Caution Statement

15 **Alibi:** Accused has to raise it

Prosecution: has burden to disprove it and place accused to the scene in terms of time and place.

20 **Verdict:** Convict on any of the following:

Defilement

Attempted defilement

Indecent Assault.

25 **Acquit**: for defilement or on all alternative verdicts.

Witnesses

Admitted:

30 1. Dr. Birungi – examined victim

30/5/99 – Penetration signs hymen ruptured – long

time ago. Victim – 5 years.

- 2. Dr. Musinguzi Accused 20 years normal not impotent.
- 3. I/IP Gumisiriza Caution and Charge Statement denial.
- 4. Lilian Turyagumisiriza girl 9 years saw sperms + blood in vagina of Agnes Monica.

 Alarm by PW2 Tumiraho Vida saying accused had defiled her daughter. (Never Spoke to accused).

PW1: Agnes Monica Nshemereirwe age?

Defile at 8.00 p.m.

Refugees at her father's place.

- Accused asked her to accompany him.
 - 100 metres away Reported to mother.

PW2: Received report from PW1 – examined her. Accused eating with other Congolese – had super – Returned weeping and saying Accused Stiff had raped her – Exh. Her – blood + whitish.

20

15

5