

THE REPUBLIC OF UGANDA

10

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF UGANDA

AT KAMPALA

Criminal Miscellaneous Application No. 145 of 2019

(Arising from Court of Appeal Criminal Appeal No. 809 of 2014)

15

Muhindo Swalleh :::::: Applicant

Versus

Uganda :::::: Respondent

20 Coram:

Hon. Justice Remmy Kasule, Ag. JA sitting as a single Justice

Ruling of the Court

The applicant filed this application seeking to be granted bail pending the hearing and determination of his **Criminal Appeal No. 809 of 2014** pending before this court.



Background:

The applicant was charged with the offence of Murder contrary to Section 188 and 189, Attempted Murder contrary to Section 204, Aggravated Robbery contrary to Section 285 and 286(2) of the Penal Code Act. He was tried by the High Court at Kasese, (Batema, J) was convicted and was sentenced to respective prison sentences.

The application is brought under Article 23(6) (a) and 28(1) of the Constitution, Section 132(4) of the Trial on Indictments Act, Cap 23, and Section 40(2) of the Criminal Procedure Code Act, Cap 116 and Rules 43 and 44 of the Judicature (Court of Appeal Rules) Directions. The application is supported by the affidavit in support deponed to by the applicant.

Representation;

40

45

50

At the hearing of this application, the applicant self-represented himself while the learned State Attorney, Peter Mugisha, of the office of the Director of Public Prosecutions (DPP), represented the respondent.

APPLICANT'S CASE

In his submissions, the applicant prayed to be released on bail pending the disposal of his appeal, on the grounds that; he has spent long period in prison without his appeal being determined. The trial Court file had also disappeared, and so the appeal cannot be fixed for hearing. He has children who are school going and are not able to receive his support as long as he remains in prison

serving the sentence of imprisonment. His wife as well as his parents are also missing his presence, comfort and support by reason of his being in custody in prison serving the sentence. He thus prayed this Court to release him on bail pending disposal of his appeal.

He produced three substantial sureties, namely;

Mr. Haruna Pipa, aged 60 years, with National ID No. CM 5401510A8R3F and a resident of Kasese District. He is a farmer and a father to the applicant., Mrs. Biira Mwasumwe, aged 65 years with National ID No. CF53015108Y4DJ and a resident of Kitakombia Village, Kasese District. She is a house wife, Farmer and a mother to the applicant, Mrs. Hadija Biira, aged 41 years, with National ID No. CF78015102T0AD, a resident of Lyemubuza Village, Kasese District. She is a house wife, farmer and a neighbour to the applicant.

The applicant submitted that each of the sureties was substantial.

He prayed to be released on bail pending appeal.

RESPONDENT'S CASE;

55

75

Counsel for the respondent opposed the application and submitted that the applicant did not prove any exceptional circumstances. Staying long in prison while serving a lawful sentence, failure to look after his parents, children and family because of being in prison due to conviction are not exceptional circumstances for one to be released on bail pending appeal. Counsel referred Court to the case of **Court of Appeal Criminal Miscellaneous Application**

No. 241 of 2014; Sande Pande Ndimwibo Vs Uganda and Court of Appeal Criminal Miscellaneous Application No. 69 of 2018 Kitaka Robert Vs Uganda, in support of his submissions that an applicant for bail pending appeal must, in order to succeed, prove exceptional and/ or unusual reasons.

Counsel prayed for the application to be dismissed.

CONSIDERATION OF COURT

80

85

90

95

100

An applicant for bail pending appeal is no longer shielded by the presumption of innocence under Article 28 of the Constitution. Therefore the grounds upon which this court may grant an applicant bail pending appeal must be constituted by exceptional circumstances and/or unusual reasons. See: Sande Pande Ndimwibo Vs Uganda; Court of Appeal Criminal Application No. 241 of 2014.

The applicant has not shown, to the satisfaction of court, that any exceptional circumstances and or unusual reasons exist so as to justify his being released on bail pending appeal. He has not provided proof, and both the High Court and this Court have not so communicated to him, that the trial High Court records are irretrievably lost.

The fact that the applicant has a fixed place of abode and has presented sureties to Court, are, on their own, not exceptional grounds to have the applicant released on bail pending appeal. So too is the assertion that the children and other family members of

the applicant are missing his support and comfort by reason of being away from them while serving in prison.

This Court is therefore not satisfied that this is a fit and proper case in which this court is to exercise its discretion to grant bail pending appeal to the applicant. The Court accordingly declines to grant the application. The same stands dismissed

It is so ordered.

115

Remmy Kasule

Ag. Justice of Appeal

H.06. 2020

Ruling Selivered via Zoom today the

11.06. 2020 in presence of Ms. Nakafeero

Fafina Counsel for the feoremdent, the

Applicant and Sara Namaganda dc.

Selivered by Aychare Trumebare

H. Ret. Co. A.